

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/730,616	LAMBERT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel P. Stephenson	3672	

All Participants:
Status of Application: abandoned

 (1) Daniel P. Stephenson.

(3) _____.

 (2) J. Dean Lechtenberger.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2006
Time: 11:15
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner inquired as to the status of the application, since it had over 6 months from the mailing of the last office action. The attorney for the applicant informed the examiner that no reply was forthcoming and the case was being abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)